



SAP

SATISFACTORY ACADEMIC PROGRESS:

Making Sense of it All!




David Bartnicki
404-974-9312;
david.bartnicki@ed.gov



Resources/References

- FSA Assessments, Student Eligibility section:
 - <http://ifap.ed.gov/qahome/qaassessments/studentelig.html>
- 668.16, 668.34 (SAP)
- 13/14 FSA HDBK Vol. 1, Chapter 1
- *Electronic Announcement - September 2, 2011*
 - Policy Q & A Webpage on program integrity regs
 - <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/reg/heardulemaking/2009/integrity-qa.html>
 - Upper right-hand side of IFAP


2



668.34 – Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP)

- (a) *Satisfactory academic progress policy.* An institution must establish a reasonable satisfactory academic progress policy for determining whether an otherwise eligible student is making satisfactory academic progress in his or her educational program and may receive assistance under the title IV, HEA programs.


3



SAP


“As Strict or Stricter”

- Having an SAP policy “as strict or stricter” then other school policies refers to the *actual measurements* used to monitor qualitative and quantitative standards - GPA and pace
- It does NOT refer to the frequency in which the school checks SAP
 - Therefore academics might check GPA every term but financial aid can check GPA for SAP purposes annually

4 

SAP Standards


- Reasonable
- Consistently Applied
- Apply to all Title IV programs
 - Can’t be eligible for Pell but not Loans
- Qualitative and Quantitative
 - Both must be cumulative
 - Can be more restrictive and have payment period measurements in addition to cumulative measures

5 

Q & A – different policies

SAP-Q9: Is an institution required to use the same SAP policy for all students?


SAP-A9: No, the policy must explain the qualitative (grade-based) and quantitative (time-related) standards the institution uses to check SAP; however, an institution is permitted to establish different SAP standards for different programs or categories (e.g., full-time, part-time, undergraduate, and graduate students) which must be applied consistently to students in that category or program.

6 

SAP

Q & A - Remedial


- SAP-Q3: How are remedial courses treated for SAP purposes?
- SAP-A3: The institution's SAP policy should describe how remedial courses are treated. An institution may, but is not required to, include remedial coursework in determining pace. However, the school must evaluate remedial coursework under the qualitative factor, though it does not have to be part of the GPA. If not part of the GPA, the school must have some other measurement process to evaluate remedial coursework (passing courses, meeting course requirements, etc.)

7 

Q & A – programs > 2 yrs


R-Q2: How does the qualitative portion of a SAP review relate to the requirement for a student to have a GPA of at least 2.0, or academic standing consistent with the institution's requirements for graduation?

R-A2: ...the Higher Education Act requires a specific qualitative review at the end of the student's second academic year. In this context, we have interpreted the "second academic year" as the student being at the school for 4 semesters or 6 quarters, regardless of a student's enrollment status. At that point, the student must have a GPA of at least a 2.0 or its equivalent or have academic standing consistent with the institution's graduation requirements.

8 

SAP Policy - Pace


- Policy must include the following:
 - Pace of progression required to insure student completes within maximum time frame
 - Measurement of student's progress at each evaluation
 - Calculate the pace at which the student is progressing by –
 - Dividing the cumulative number of hours the student has successfully completed by
 - The cumulative number of hours the student has attempted
 - For clock hours you are evaluating cumulative clock hours required to complete as expressed in calendar time

9 

SAP

SAP Policy – Checking SAP


- Student’s SAP evaluations, whether each payment period, annually or less often than each payment period, must occur at the end of a payment period
 - *Official evaluation period cannot be less than a payment period*

10 

SAP – Clock Hours

- Evaluation “at end of payment period”
 - Schools have 3 options
 - 1) At the point when the student’s *scheduled clock hours* for the payment period have elapsed, regardless of whether the student attended them; or
 - 2) At the point when the student has *attended* the scheduled clock hours; or
 - 3) At the point when the student *successfully completes* the scheduled clock hours for that payment period

School must establish one review option for a program; cannot start with one option and then after the first review, switch to a different option for that cohort of students.


11 

SAP – Cl. Hr. Pace Example

Background -

- 1200 clock hour program, 40 weeks
- Payment periods – 450 hrs/15wks; 450/15; 300/10
- Student scheduled 30 hours per week
- Max timeframe is 150% of 40 weeks = 60 weeks
- 67% completion rate to measure pace
- Evaluate SAP each payment period

Program Integrity Q & A website – SAP Question R-Q9
<http://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/reg/hearulemaking/2009/integrity-qa.html>


12 

SAP

SAP – Cl. Hr. Pace Example

Option #1 – Scheduled hours


- At 450 scheduled hours, completed 300 hours
- $300/450 = 67\%$ or, as expressed in calendar time, 10 weeks/15 weeks = 67%.
 - Both items checked according to max timeframe
 - Standard rounding is allowed
- *Student is making SAP but not eligible for 2nd disbursement until successfully completes 450 hours and 15 weeks of instructional time.*
- Next SAP review is at 900 scheduled hours

13 

SAP – Cl. Hr. Pace Example

Option #2 – Attended hours


- At 450 attended hours, 600 scheduled hours elapsed
- $450/600 = 75\%$ or, as expressed in calendar time, 15 weeks/20 weeks = 75%.
 - Both items checked according to max timeframe
 - Standard rounding is allowed
- *Student is making SAP but not eligible for 2nd disbursement until successfully completes 450 hours and 15 weeks of instructional time.*
- Next SAP review is at 900 attended hours

14 

SAP – Cl. Hr. Pace Example

Option #3 – Successfully completed hours

- At 450 successfully completed hours, 600 scheduled hours elapsed
- $450/600 = 75\%$ or, as expressed in calendar time, 15 weeks/20 weeks = 75%.
 - Both items checked according to max timeframe
 - Standard rounding is allowed
- *Because student has successfully completed both the hours and the weeks in the payment period, the second disbursement could be made at this time*
- Next review is at 900 successfully completed hours


15 

SAP

Q & A – graduated criteria


SAP-Q7: Can the SAP pace requirements be different for students at different points in academic program?

SAP-A7: Yes. An institution may use a different pace standard for students in different grade levels. This could result in the pace gradually becoming more strict. For example, the institution may use a 50% pace for first year students, a 65% pace for second year students, and an 85% pace for third and fourth year students, rather than using a flat 67% pace for each year.




SAP Policy – Max Timeframe

- Limits of maximum timeframe”
 - For undergraduate programs, must be no longer than 150% of published length of educational program
 - For gradate programs of study, school defines the maximum based upon length of program
- Must be measured at each evaluation point
 - If student has more hours or weeks (clock hour) to complete than what is allowed under the max timeframe, student is not making SAP at that point
 - Student is ineligible at point where indicated will exceed max timeframe NOT at the point when they actually reach the max timeframe



SAP Policy – other key items

- Policy must include the following:
 - Describe how student’s GPA and pace of completion affected by *incompletes, withdrawals, repetitions, or transfers of credits*
 - Transfer credits accepted toward completion of student’s program must count as both hours attempted and hours completed




SAP

Q & A

SAP-Q6: SAP regulations require credit hours accepted toward student's program count as both attempted and completed when calculating GPA and pace for SAP. Can an institution's policy include *non-accepted credits* as attempted credits for purposes of these calculations?

SAP-A6: Yes. The treatment of these credits would be up to the institution. The SAP regulations do not address non-accepted credits.


- May refer to transfer credits or credits earned in other academic programs at your school



Q & A – changes in majors


SAP-Q5: How should an institution handle changes of majors?

SAP-A5: The treatment of a student who changes majors is determined by the institution and should be specified in its SAP policy. An institution *may* specify in its SAP policy that it will include coursework taken by a student for enrollment in other majors.



Monitoring SAP Each Payment Period

- In general, a student who is not making SAP is no longer eligible for Title IV aid
- For an institution that chooses to evaluate SAP at the end of each payment period, a “financial aid warning” status *may* be used
 - Student may continue to receive Title IV aid for *one payment period*
 - No appeal necessary
 - Possible for student to receive more than one warning period during academic career just NOT consecutively




SAP

Q & A - terms


SAP-Q2: Must schools adopt the terminology, such as warning and probation, used in the regulations?

SAP-A2: Yes. To the extent that your institution uses the statuses we describe in the new regulations, it must use the terminology in the regulations.

22 

Monitoring SAP


- If measure each payment period –
 - The payment period following a payment period in which the student did not make SAP, the school *may*:
 - Place the student on Financial Aid Warning; or
 - Place the student on Financial Aid Probation
- If evaluate SAP annually or less often than each payment period
 - When student loses eligibility for Title IV aid
 - May be placed on Financial Aid Probation

23 

Q & A – annual definition

R-Q8: The SAP regulations, at Sec. 668.34(a)(3)(ii), require that, for programs that are longer than one academic year, the student’s SAP must be evaluated “at the end of each payment period or at least annually to correspond with the end of a payment period;”. In this context, what does “annually” mean?


R-A8: In this context, annually means a 12-month period. An institution is expected to review a student’s SAP *at least once every 12 months*.

24 

SAP

Monitoring SAP


- If on Financial Aid Warning -
 - After 1 payment period, student must make SAP; or
 - *May* be placed on Probation after successful appeal
- To be placed on Probation (*successful appeal*)
 - Student expected to be making SAP in next payment period; *OR*
 - Be successfully following an academic plan designed to ensure student will be able to meet SAP by a specific point in time
 - Not required to develop academic plans
 - Can set conditions on developing plans

25 

Q & A - probation

PROB-Q4: How many times may a student be placed on probation for failing to meet SAP standards?

PROB-A4: A student may be placed on probation for one payment period per appeal. It is possible that a student could be placed on probation more than once in his or her academic career.


26 

Q & A – academic plans

ACP-Q1: How should an institution develop an academic plan?

ACP-A1: The regulations do not specify what must be included in an academic plan. The institution and the student should develop a plan that ensures that the student is able to meet the institution's SAP standards by a specific point in time.

- Could be out to program completion; or
- Future point where meet SAP standards


27 

SAP

Q & A – academic plans

ACP-Q4: Must the academic plan be mathematically set to graduate student within 150% time frame?


ACP-A4: The academic plan must be designed to ensure that the student is able to meet the institution's satisfactory academic progress standards by a specific point in time. In some cases, this *could mean that the maximum timeframe would be extended based on the student's approved appeal.*

28 

Q & A – academic plans


ACP-Q3: Can the academic plan be the same for all students or the same by student categories or must the plan be created individually for each student?

ACP-A3: According to the regulations, the academic plan is developed by the institution and the student individually. It is possible that a general plan could be used for students in a similar circumstance and then customized, as needed, for each student's particular circumstance.

29 

Monitoring SAP

- A student on Probation may only receive Title IV funds for **ONE payment period**
- A student on Probation may not receive Title IV funds for the subsequent payment period UNLESS:
 - Student is now making SAP; or
 - Institution determines student met requirements specified by the school in the academic plan and student still covered by academic plan

30 


SAP

Q & A – academic plans

ACP-Q2: What is the status of a student who has completed the probationary payment period and who is continuing to receive aid by *meeting the requirements of the student’s academic plan*?

ACP-A2: A student who has been reinstated to eligibility under an academic plan and is making progress under that plan is considered to be an eligible student.


- May be evaluated at the same time as other TIV recipients or at more frequent periods based on plan

31 

Q & A - appeals


PROB-Q2: After one payment period on probation, student still not making SAP, can student be automatically put on an academic plan, or must the student appeal again?

PROB-A2: The student would have to successfully appeal to be placed on a plan. The institution would need information about why the student failed to make SAP at the end of the probation payment period, including what had changed that caused the student to not make SAP during the probationary payment period and why the student will be able to meet SAP on the terms of the academic plan.

32 

SAP Policy - Appeal

- Appeals
 - Process by which student who is not meeting school’s SAP policy petitions for reconsideration of eligibility for Title IV
 - Policy must specify the conditions under which a student may appeal
 - Appeal must include:
 - Why the student failed to make SAP; and
 - What has changed that will allow the student to make SAP at the next evaluation
 - Federal Register reminds schools that 150% maximum timeframe can be appealed


33 

SAP

Q & A – appeal docs

APP-Q2: What documentation is required for a student appeal?


APP-A2: That is up to the institution. An institution may choose to request additional documentation when a particular student circumstance warrants it. The institution may decide to require more extensive documentation on an initial appeal and an update statement on a subsequent appeal.

34 

Q & A - amnesty


APP-Q4: May an institution’s SAP policy include automatic “academic amnesty” in certain circumstances, such as, after a student has not attended for a certain number of payment periods or years?

APP-A4: No. The regulations permit use of the automatic financial aid warning status for institutions that review SAP at each payment period. No other status may be granted automatically. A successful appeal is needed to grant financial aid probation status or to develop an academic plan.

35 

SAP – Appeal Notification

- Notification to students
 - Must notify student of results of SAP review that impacts student’s eligibility for Title IV aid
 - If institution has an appeal process, must describe the specific elements required to appeal SAP
 - May specify how often and how many appeals are allowed
 - *If institution does not have an appeal process,* must describe how a student who has failed SAP can reestablish eligibility for Title IV aid

36 

SAP

Policy Development Issues

- Will your policy have fixed or graduated standards?
- How will your policy treat transfer credits?
- How will your policy treat course incompletes, withdrawals and repetitions?
- How will your policy treat remedial courses?
- How will your policy treat changes of major or program? Will these be limited?

37 US Department of Education

Policy Development Issues

- Will your financial aid SAP policy mirror your academic SAP policy?
- How often will your institution check SAP?
 - If annually, will you allow for Probation?
 - If each payment period, will you allow for Warnings? Probation? Appeals?

38 US Department of Education

Policy Development Issues


- Will your policy permit appeals?
- If yes,
 - What is the formal process for filing an appeal?
 - How many times may a student appeal? Will reasons be limited?
 - Who will review appeals?

39 US Department of Education

SAP

Policy Development Issues

- Will your policy permit academic plans?
- If yes,
 - What is the formal process for obtaining an academic plan
 - Which persons/offices at your institution need to be involved?
 - Who will develop academic plans? Approve? Monitor?

40 

“NEW” - Training Feedback

To ensure quality training we ask all participants to please fill out an online session evaluation

- Go to <http://s.zoomerang.com/s/DavidBartnicki>
 - Evaluation form is specific to David Bartnicki
- This feedback tool will provide a means to educate and inform areas for improvement and support an effective process for “listening” to our customers
- Additional concerns about training can be directed to joann.borel@ed.gov

41 